




	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 1	1895	467	24.64%	216	11.40%	751	39.63%	384	20.26%	77	4.06%
Lake County	1895	467	24.64%	216	11.40%	751	39.63%	384	20.26%	77	4.06%
















	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Jasper County	50	13	26.00%	1	2.00%	21	42.00%	15	30.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oti	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Laporte County	104	32	30.77%	10	9.62%	39	37.50%	16	15.38%	7	6.73%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Iome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Newton County	42	20	47.62%	7	16.67%	8	19.05%	7	16.67%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007											
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Porter County	264	60	22.73%	56	21.21%	110	41.67%	26	9.85%	12	4.55%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			ome Relative Home I		Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Pulaski County	31	5	16.13%	7	22.58%	17	54.84%	2	6.45%	0	0.00%
















	November 2007											
County	Total Own Home F			e Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%	
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%	
Starke County	87	29	33.33%	10	11.49%	31	35.63%	14	16.09%	3	3.45%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007											
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 3	1310	352	26.87%	71	5.42%	688	52.52%	141	10.76%	58	4.43%
Elkhart County	385	106	27.53%	21	5.45%	198	51.43%	39	10.13%	21	5.45%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	November 2007											
County	Total Own Home F			n Home Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Residential Care		Oth	her				
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%				
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%				
Region 3	1310	352	26.87%	71	5.42%	688	52.52%	141	10.76%	58	4.43%				
Kosciusko County	51	14	27.45%	9	17.65%	20	39.22%	4	7.84%	4	7.84%				









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007											
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 3	1310	352	26.87%	71	5.42%	688	52.52%	141	10.76%	58	4.43%
Marshall County	121	28	23.14%	13	10.74%	64	52.89%	15	12.40%	1	0.83%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 3	1310	352	26.87%	71	5.42%	688	52.52%	141	10.76%	58	4.43%
Saint Joseph County	753	204	27.09%	28	3.72%	406	53.92%	83	11.02%	32	4.25%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Adams County	48	13	27.08%	3	6.25%	24	50.00%	7	14.58%	1	2.08%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Allen County	1282	452	35.26%	109	8.50%	519	40.48%	113	8.81%	89	6.94%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Dekalb County	84	17	20.24%	7	8.33%	50	59.52%	10	11.90%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home F		me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Huntington County	36	13	36.11%	1	2.78%	15	41.67%	4	11.11%	3	8.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
LaGrange County	54	14	25.93%	10	18.52%	18	33.33%	9	16.67%	3	5.56%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home I		me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Noble County	98	29	29.59%	16	16.33%	41	41.84%	10	10.20%	2	2.04%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Steuben County	98	32	32.65%	8	8.16%	39	39.80%	18	18.37%	1	1.02%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home I		Home Relative Home F		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Wells County	44	10	22.73%	5	11.36%	25	56.82%	3	6.82%	1	2.27%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 4	1759	580	32.97%	159	9.04%	746	42.41%	174	9.89%	100	5.69%
Whitley County	15	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home F		ome Relative Home I		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Benton County	13	7	53.85%	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		ve Home Foster Home		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home F		ome Relative Home F		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Clinton County	29	9	31.03%	5	17.24%	14	48.28%	1	3.45%	0	0.00%
















	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Fountain County	36	2	5.56%	8	22.22%	20	55.56%	5	13.89%	1	2.78%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	lential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Tippecanoe County	227	60	26.43%	55	24.23%	79	34.80%	26	11.45%	7	3.08%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
Warren County	8	3	37.50%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		ve Home Foster Homes			ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 5	339	87	25.66%	78	23.01%	127	37.46%	37	10.91%	10	2.95%
White County	26	6	23.08%	4	15.38%	13	50.00%	1	3.85%	2	7.69%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	November 2007												
County	Total CHINS				e Home	Foster Homes		Resid Ca	lential are	Oth	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 6	272	54	19.85%	26	9.56%	154	56.62%	34	12.50%	4	1.47%		
Cass County	34	3	8.82%	0	0.00%	25	73.53%	5	14.71%	1	2.94%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			n Home Relative Home F		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	lential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 6	272	54	19.85%	26	9.56%	154	56.62%	34	12.50%	4	1.47%
Fulton County	37	7	18.92%	2	5.41%	18	48.65%	8	21.62%	2	5.41%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			n Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	lential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 6	272	54	19.85%	26	9.56%	154	56.62%	34	12.50%	4	1.47%
Howard County	83	19	22.89%	12	14.46%	46	55.42%	6	7.23%	0	0.00%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Home Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 6	272	54	19.85%	26	9.56%	154	56.62%	34	12.50%	4	1.47%
Miami County	59	15	25.42%	4	6.78%	27	45.76%	12	20.34%	1	1.69%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 6	272	54	19.85%	26	9.56%	154	56.62%	34	12.50%	4	1.47%
Wabash County	59	10	16.95%	8	13.56%	38	64.41%	3	5.08%	0	0.00%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 7	557	164	29.44%	118	21.18%	210	37.70%	46	8.26%	19	3.41%
Blackford County	14	5	35.71%	0	0.00%	8	57.14%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 7	557	164	29.44%	118	21.18%	210	37.70%	46	8.26%	19	3.41%
Delaware County	365	109	29.86%	100	27.40%	115	31.51%	27	7.40%	14	3.84%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7				November 2007												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oti	her									
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%									
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%									
Region 7	557	164	29.44%	118	21.18%	210	37.70%	46	8.26%	19	3.41%									
Grant County	134	36	26.87%	16	11.94%	64	47.76%	13	9.70%	5	3.73%									
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		ome Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 7	557	164	29.44%	118	21.18%	210	37.70%	46	8.26%	19	3.41%
Jay County	14	5	35.71%	0	0.00%	6	42.86%	3	21.43%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 7	557	164	29.44%	118	21.18%	210	37.70%	46	8.26%	19	3.41%
Randolph County	30	9	30.00%	2	6.67%	17	56.67%	2	6.67%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS				e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 8	236	78	33.05%	32	13.56%	101	42.80%	20	8.47%	5	2.12%
Clay County	4	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	7							
County	Total Own Home F		me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 8	236	78	33.05%	32	13.56%	101	42.80%	20	8.47%	5	2.12%
Parke County	4	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home I		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 8	236	78	33.05%	32	13.56%	101	42.80%	20	8.47%	5	2.12%
Sullivan County	32	8	25.00%	6	18.75%	15	46.88%	1	3.13%	2	6.25%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 8	236	78	33.05%	32	13.56%	101	42.80%	20	8.47%	5	2.12%
Vermillion County	26	7	26.92%	3	11.54%	10	38.46%	6	23.08%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 8	236	78	33.05%	32	13.56%	101	42.80%	20	8.47%	5	2.12%
Vigo County	170	60	35.29%	23	13.53%	73	42.94%	11	6.47%	3	1.76%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			n Home Relative Home F		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 9	345	128	37.10%	49	14.20%	119	34.49%	29	8.41%	20	5.80%
Boone County	61	31	50.82%	5	8.20%	14	22.95%	7	11.48%	4	6.56%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		ne Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 9	345	128	37.10%	49	14.20%	119	34.49%	29	8.41%	20	5.80%
Hendricks County	59	10	16.95%	2	3.39%	34	57.63%	6	10.17%	7	11.86%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 9	345	128	37.10%	49	14.20%	119	34.49%	29	8.41%	20	5.80%
Montgomery County	130	55	42.31%	24	18.46%	39	30.00%	6	4.62%	6	4.62%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	November 2007											
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%	
Region 9	345	128	37.10%	49	14.20%	119	34.49%	29	8.41%	20	5.80%	
Morgan County	64	23	35.94%	10	15.63%	25	39.06%	4	6.25%	2	3.13%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		ne Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 9	345	128	37.10%	49	14.20%	119	34.49%	29	8.41%	20	5.80%
Putnam County	31	9	29.03%	8	25.81%	7	22.58%	6	19.35%	1	3.23%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			n Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 10	2705	542	20.04%	704	26.03%	1249	46.17%	130	4.81%	80	2.96%
Marion County	2705	542	20.04%	704	26.03%	1249	46.17%	130	4.81%	80	2.96%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 11	409	120	29.34%	77	18.83%	167	40.83%	33	8.07%	12	2.93%
Hamilton County	109	53	48.62%	19	17.43%	33	30.28%	4	3.67%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		e Home Foster Homes			ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 11	409	120	29.34%	77	18.83%	167	40.83%	33	8.07%	12	2.93%
Hancock County	39	16	41.03%	3	7.69%	15	38.46%	5	12.82%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 11	409	120	29.34%	77	18.83%	167	40.83%	33	8.07%	12	2.93%
Madison County	250	44	17.60%	54	21.60%	118	47.20%	22	8.80%	12	4.80%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home F			Relative Home		Home Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 11	409	120	29.34%	77	18.83%	167	40.83%	33	8.07%	12	2.93%
Tipton County	11	7	63.64%	1	9.09%	1	9.09%	2	18.18%	0	0.00%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		ne Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%
Fayette County	60	22	36.67%	16	26.67%	19	31.67%	3	5.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%
Franklin County	37	14	37.84%	10	27.03%	10	27.03%	1	2.70%	2	5.41%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			lome Relative Home F		ome Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%
Henry County	136	57	41.91%	22	16.18%	41	30.15%	12	8.82%	4	2.94%
















	November 2007											
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		ome Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%	
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%	
Rush County	34	12	35.29%	11	32.35%	5	14.71%	6	17.65%	0	0.00%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%
Union County	19	5	26.32%	4	21.05%	7	36.84%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 12	414	150	36.23%	95	22.95%	124	29.95%	35	8.45%	10	2.42%
Wayne County	128	40	31.25%	32	25.00%	42	32.81%	12	9.38%	2	1.56%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home I		ome Relative Home F		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 13	320	82	25.62%	37	11.56%	173	54.06%	18	5.63%	10	3.13%
Brown County	12	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home I		Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 13	320	82	25.62%	37	11.56%	173	54.06%	18	5.63%	10	3.13%
Greene County	59	16	27.12%	6	10.17%	34	57.63%	3	5.08%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		ive Home Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 13	320	82	25.62%	37	11.56%	173	54.06%	18	5.63%	10	3.13%
Lawrence County	47	10	21.28%	0	0.00%	33	70.21%	2	4.26%	2	4.26%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 13	320	82	25.62%	37	11.56%	173	54.06%	18	5.63%	10	3.13%
Monroe County	150	34	22.67%	22	14.67%	81	54.00%	12	8.00%	1	0.67%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		ive Home Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 13	320	82	25.62%	37	11.56%	173	54.06%	18	5.63%	10	3.13%
Owen County	52	19	36.54%	1	1.92%	25	48.08%	1	1.92%	6	11.54%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 14	479	142	29.65%	82	17.12%	195	40.71%	45	9.39%	15	3.13%
Bartholomew County	145	33	22.76%	16	11.03%	69	47.59%	21	14.48%	6	4.14%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 14	479	142	29.65%	82	17.12%	195	40.71%	45	9.39%	15	3.13%
Jackson County	107	28	26.17%	17	15.89%	53	49.53%	6	5.61%	3	2.80%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 14	479	142	29.65%	82	17.12%	195	40.71%	45	9.39%	15	3.13%
Jennings County	104	43	41.35%	25	24.04%	22	21.15%	12	11.54%	2	1.92%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 14	479	142	29.65%	82	17.12%	195	40.71%	45	9.39%	15	3.13%
Johnson County	64	11	17.19%	12	18.75%	34	53.13%	5	7.81%	2	3.13%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		ne Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 14	479	142	29.65%	82	17.12%	195	40.71%	45	9.39%	15	3.13%
Shelby County	59	27	45.76%	12	20.34%	17	28.81%	1	1.69%	2	3.39%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home I		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%
Dearborn County	66	6	9.09%	30	45.45%	28	42.42%	2	3.03%	0	0.00%
















	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%
Decatur County	52	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	17	32.69%	8	15.38%	0	0.00%
















	November 2007												
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%		
Jefferson County	80	23	28.75%	5	6.25%	23	28.75%	20	25.00%	9	11.25%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%
Ohio County	8	3	37.50%	0	0.00%	1	12.50%	3	37.50%	1	12.50%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%
Ripley County	86	48	55.81%	5	5.81%	23	26.74%	8	9.30%	2	2.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 15	313	106	33.87%	49	15.65%	99	31.63%	44	14.06%	15	4.79%
Switzerland County	21	4	19.05%	4	19.05%	7	33.33%	3	14.29%	3	14.29%
















	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Gibson County	85	24	28.24%	17	20.00%	39	45.88%	3	3.53%	2	2.35%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Knox County	108	24	22.22%	16	14.81%	39	36.11%	17	15.74%	12	11.11%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total Own Home F		Home Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Pike County	33	8	24.24%	3	9.09%	10	30.30%	1	3.03%	11	33.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Posey County	35	1	2.86%	6	17.14%	22	62.86%	5	14.29%	1	2.86%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Vanderburgh County	435	115	26.44%	98	22.53%	170	39.08%	40	9.20%	12	2.76%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200	07							
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 16	760	195	25.66%	144	18.95%	301	39.61%	74	9.74%	46	6.05%
Warrick County	64	23	35.94%	4	6.25%	21	32.81%	8	12.50%	8	12.50%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		Iome Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oti	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%
Crawford County	72	12	16.67%	19	26.39%	29	40.28%	10	13.89%	2	2.78%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			lome Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%
Daviess County	43	10	23.26%	4	9.30%	20	46.51%	9	20.93%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	ner
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%
Dubois County	29	8	27.59%	0	0.00%	19	65.52%	1	3.45%	1	3.45%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






	N	lovem	ber 200)7							
County	Total Own Home F		Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%
Martin County	9	2	22.22%	3	33.33%	1	11.11%	3	33.33%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative Home		Home Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oti	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%		
Orange County	17	4	23.53%	0	0.00%	12	70.59%	1	5.88%	0	0.00%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative Hom		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%		
Perry County	58	15	25.86%	4	6.90%	36	62.07%	2	3.45%	1	1.72%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative Home		Home Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 17	241	56	23.24%	33	13.69%	119	49.38%	29	12.03%	4	1.66%		
Spencer County	13	5	38.46%	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		ome Relative Home		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 18	507	166	32.74%	81	15.98%	196	38.66%	47	9.27%	17	3.35%		
Clark County	198	49	24.75%	28	14.14%	96	48.48%	15	7.58%	10	5.05%		
















November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative H		e Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 18	507	166	32.74%	81	15.98%	196	38.66%	47	9.27%	17	3.35%		
Floyd County	72	38	52.78%	1	1.39%	26	36.11%	7	9.72%	0	0.00%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative Ho		ne Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 18	507	166	32.74%	81	15.98%	196	38.66%	47	9.27%	17	3.35%		
Harrison County	91	40	43.96%	14	15.38%	16	17.58%	18	19.78%	3	3.30%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008






November 2007													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Home Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 18	507	166	32.74%	81	15.98%	196	38.66%	47	9.27%	17	3.35%		
Scott County	104	31	29.81%	29	27.88%	36	34.62%	5	4.81%	3	2.88%		
















November 2007													
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home		ome Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%		
Region 18	507	166	32.74%	81	15.98%	196	38.66%	47	9.27%	17	3.35%		
Washington County	42	8	19.05%	9	21.43%	22	52.38%	2	4.76%	1	2.38%		







Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis February 28 2008

